NATIONAL FRONT
53, Greencroft Towers,
Felling, Gateshead 10.

National Front News 10p

ISSUE No. 21

UNEMPLOYMENT

TORIES LEAD BRITAIN TO EURO-KNACKERS YARD

WHO WOULD HAVE THOUGHT IT POSSIBLE THAT BRITAIN, THE FOUNDER OF THE INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION, WOULD FIND ITSELF UNABLE TO PRODUCE SUCH AN ESSENTIAL ITEM AS STEEL? YET BRITAIN IS NOW HEADING TOWARDS THIS CATASTROPHIC PROSPECT.

The death of our steel industry will drag down many of our most basic and productive industries, throwing millions more onto the dole queues.

This approaching collapse has been the direct result of the international trading agreements which have been concluded by successive governments, the most disastrous of these has been our membership of the European Common Market.

Before our entry the British Steel Corporation was an efficient producer which sold its steel more cheaply than European firms.

When we joined, the industry came under pressure from EEC bureaucrats and was forced to increase its prices, consequently losing its edge over Common Market competitors.



The British taxpayer has invested untold millions in the British Steel Corporation to help it build new plant like this one in Redcar, Yorks. How long will it function in the EEC?



While the BSC is closing down steelworks in Britain, European steel plants are working night and day – to send exports to Britain!

IMPORTS SOAR

Because of this our exports have declined while imports have soared. Between 1970 and 1978 our production of steel fell by over 25% with the loss of over 60,000 jobs, while the amount we imported has risen by a staggering 69%.

The British Steel Corporation's latest plan for "slimming down" the industry involves a further production cut of 27% to just 15 million tonnes per year, with a consequent further loss of jobs.

While our own Government refuses to invest in the future of British steel, German manufacturers are having no trouble in obtaining finance for new factories and modern equipment.

DORTMUND BOOMS

The German firm, Hoesch, has recently announced a £150 million steel plant for Dortmund which will be capable of producing 2 million tonnes of steel each year.

Hoesch are so confident that British manufacturers will have to buy even more German steel, that they have recently purchased, through one of their subsidiaries, a Port of London wharf which specialises in handling steel imports.

The inability of Britain to produce its own steel will wreck the very basis of our manufacturing industry. There is hardly an item produced that does not either contain a large proportion of steel, or which requires steel for its manufacture.

Our motor industry and all its supporting industries, our shipbuilding industry, our electronics industry, our aerospace industry, our machine tool industry – all these require cheap, easily available supplies of steel. Without this they too will decay and collapse.

The ability of Britain to maintain its own defence relies massively on our steel-making capacity.

FINANCE EXPORTED

Our membership of the Common Market has already resulted in large multinational companies closing down operations in this country to finance new ones in central Europe, from where it is cheaper to distribute goods.

Already the output of our motor industry has fallen, by over 20% in the 7 years up to 1978, while during the same period Germany increased its output by 8% and France by 45%.

Before our entry we maintained tariff barriers which were effective in preventing this draining away of our industry.

Because of the loss of this safeguard, Britain now has an annual trade deficit of over £2000 million.

FISHERIES RUINED

Even our traditional industries, such as fishing, have been wrecked by the greed of our Common Market "partners".

In the seven years since we joined, our mighty fishing fleet has been decimated, large numbers of Scottish trawlermen have been thrown out of work. Hull, once Europe's biggest fishing harbour, is now a desolate graveyard for fishing vessels.

OUR CATASTROPHIC MEMBERSHIP OF THE COMMON MARKET IS RESULTING IN BRITAIN BECOMING A DERELICT INDUSTRIAL SLUM WITH A LARGE PART OF OUR POPULATION PERMANENTLY UNEMPLOYED.

ONLY THE NATIONAL FRONT IS COMMITTED TO GETTING BRITAIN *OUT* OF THE COMMON MARKET.

Millions more Britons will share the misery of these unemployed men waiting outside a Labour Exchange for jobs that do not exist thanks to the murderous impact of Common Market membership.

ST. GEORGE'S DAY MARCH
SAT. 26th APRIL 1980
"BRITISH JOBS FOR BRITISH WORKERS! BAN FOREIGN IMPORTS"
To be held in the Midlands – Full details in the April issue.

MARCHING FOR BRITAIN!
NO REDS = NO TROUBLE

LEADING the column of 1,700 marchers through Southwark, S.E. London on Sunday 2nd March is (centre) *Andrew Brons, the new Chairman of the National Front. With him is* (left) *Richard Verrall, the NF's new Deputy-Chairman, and* (right) *Jim Sneath, Organiser of Southwark NF Branch. Full story on back page.*

NATIONAL FRONT NEWS

EDITORIAL

EDITOR: MARTIN WEBSTER
Assistant Editor: Richard Verrall
Contributing Editors: Ian Anderson and Desmond Fenwick.
Staff Photographer: Ian Newport
Editorial Office: P.O. Box 163, London EC2A 3AU
No. 21 April 1980

THE WEST'S WAFFLE ON AFGHANISTAN

FOR all the waffle we hear about "Detente" and the "World Community", international power-struggles are conducted by the same brutal rules that have applied throughout history: the strong and the daring triumph and the weak go to the wall.

This fact of life may be "shocking and primitive", but it remains a fact for all that. Individual civilised societies have evolved the means to regulate power struggles within themselves by use of Law and Policemen. But struggles between nations and global power blocs cannot be thus regulated.

When the Soviet Union marched into Afghanistan the Kremlin's bosses calculated that the Western powers would not regard that little country's freedom a vital enough subject to start a nuclear war. They also knew that the nations of the West are militarily weak and politically aimless.

Western leaders, anxious to distract public attention from their humiliation, felt that they had to be seen to "do something". So they hit on the idea of trying to persuade athletes not to attend the Olympic Games in Moscow! How utterly pathetic!

The Soviets will only be impressed when they are confronted with a foe who is stronger and more ruthless than they are. To them President Carter and 'Iron Lady' Thatcher are just a joke.

NF News seeks to persuade its readers to feel angry about the evils of multi-racialism and to direct their anger solely at the corrupt politicians who created a racial problem in Britain, and not to the Immigrant people in general. "Racialism" is NOT unlawful – only "racial hatred".

NEWSPIG

Now let's get this straight Commander Gumboot: you, as the *"de facto, de jure* Chairman of the NF banned the NF march in Southwark. Thus the 1,700 people who supported it were not at Proper Stations. Indeed, insofar as the march had been banned, it did not actually exist. Thus the marchers had no Constitutional *locus standi,* acted in defiance of reality and will, therefore, all face High Court proceedings for High Treason, *Lese Majeste* and Mutiny on the Bounty." I see . . .

BRITISH NEWS

The newspaper that exposes Communism. £2.40 for 12 issues.
316 Stanks Drive, Leeds 14, W. Yorks

ANDREW BRONS GIVES GUIDELINES FOR NF's FUTURE

A PERSONAL MESSAGE TO ALL NF MEMBERS AND SUPPORTERS FROM THE PARTY'S NEW CHAIRMAN

"I am now the Chairman of the National Front.

I did not campaign to achieve this office, and I did not seek the resignation of my predecessor – nor did any of my colleagues. The burden of responsibility and the pressures on one's domestic life which are the lot of Chairman are not matters which can be viewed lightly.

However, now that I have been elected Chairman, unopposed by any of my colleagues qualified under the NF Constitution to stand for the post, **I am determined to devote myself wholeheartedly to making a success of the job.**

My success as Chairman will be defined in terms of my ability, assisted by my Directorate colleagues, to revitalise and rebuild our party after the long months of disruptions and acrimony caused by individuals who have pursued factional power-grabs and personal vendettas quite regardless of the interests of the party.

I am sure that, like me, the majority of NF members wish to see an end – NOW – to the divisions and hatreds that have been such an unhappy feature of our party's recent past.

Thus I call on all members to give me and all my Directorate colleagues their utmost loyalty and support, especially in our efforts to eliminate the destructive tendencies of the past and to put the party back on the course of internal unity and constructive political activity.

Andrew Brons, Chairman of the National Front

The very fact that our party has been able to survive the internal and external blows that have rained upon it is a testimony to the devotion of its 'hard core' membership – to their collective will to see the party live.

It is on the basis of those qualities that we can and must now press forward from mere survival to reconstruction and renewed growth. Tremendous opportunities confront us in the coming decade thanks to the corruption and ineptness of those who have mismanaged our nation's affairs for so long.

However, we can only entertain realistic hopes of seizing our opportunities by devoting ourselves – all of us – to the following projects:–

1) Re-establishing, maintaining and defending party unity by exercise of restraint, goodwill and mutual loyalty, and by observance of the spirit as well as the letter of our Constitution.

2) Developing the ideological basis of our Nationalism, and educating the membership with a fuller idea of that ideology and the policies which spring from it, so that their commitment and loyalty may be enhanced and **focussed on the Cause**, rather than on personalities and factions.

3) Formulating a broad strategy to cover our direction over the next decade. Whilst we cannot predict with any degree of accuracy the pattern of political, social and economic events that we shall encounter over the next ten years, we can identify certain necessary conditions for the success of a new political force. **Those conditions must be prepared for and our party must be trained to cope with the trials ahead.** We must not assume that a radical movement will grow and succeed under the relatively stable conditions that exist on the British mainland at the moment.

4) **Redeveloping the organisational structure of the party, especially in respect of establishing standards of political knowledge and operational competence for all those holding and seeking to hold party office.**

5) Broadening the scope of subjects for both national and local demonstrational activities so as to stress the positive aspects of party policy. Establishing and enforcing higher standards of discipline and conduct on demonstrations.

6) Establishing a far more systematic approach to the party's overall strategy as regards Parliamentary and local government elections, and setting standards in respect of local organisation and candidate selection.

7) Establishing a National Front trade unionists' organisation, not as a publicity gimmick or an "infiltration" exercise, but as a serious and vitally important department of the party.

8) Establishing a more systematic approach by the party at both national and local level to fund-raising, and **ensuring that henceforward those responsible for managing the party's financial and other assets are at all points subservient to the will of the elected political leadership.**

I am confident that thoughtful and experienced members will welcome these guidelines as positive and constructive. I regard them as utterly essential for the construction of a mature and stable political party.

If we do not develop our ideological and political base; if we do not formulate our strategy; if we do not educate our membership; if we do not broaden the scope of our campaigns, then we will continue to be prey to the kind of instability that has been a feature of our past that we all wish to put behind us.

Having taken the Chairmanship at so difficult and critical a period in our party's history, and having determined to serve the Cause in my new role to the utmost of my ability, I trust and expect every member of the party to give to me the support and the loyalty that is necessary for me to bring success to my task.

Yours sincerely,
ANDREW BRONS,
Chairman, National Front."

RHODESIA: DON'T BLAME MUGABE –BLAME THATCHER

THE INEVITABLE disaster has now befallen Rhodesia. That valiant little oasis of White civilisation in Africa now has not only a Black government, but a Marxist Black government led by Robert Mugabe, leader of the murderous ZANU wing of the 'Patriotic Front' terrorists.

Immediately the result of the Rhodesian election was known, a flood of hypocritical whining gushed from the Tory press, bemoaning the fact that Rhodesia had fallen to Marxist rule.

But who is to blame? Certainly not Mugabe.

It's the Tories themselves who are to blame!

Ever since the world-wide campaign to subvert White civilisation in Rhodesia was launched more than fifteen years ago, the Conservative party has moved progressively leftward while maintaining the vote-catching illusion that Tories somehow supported White rule in Rhodesia.

The Conservatives began by supporting Ian Smith's gradual transition towards Black rule, while pretending to oppose Labour economic sanctions against Rhodesia.

Then came Smith's 'internal settlement', and the election of "moderate" Black Prime Minister Bishop Muzorewa. The Tories quickly supported that, while pretending to oppose Labour Foreign Secretary David Owen's demand that the 'Patriotic Front' terrorists must be included in any settlement.



The loudest voices opposing the inclusion of the terrorists were those of Mrs. Thatcher and Lord Carrington. But as soon as the Tories were in power they reversed their policy totally and moved further to the left on Rhodesia than any previous Socialist government.

Having won the General Election on the basis that a Tory government would grant quick recognition to Rhodesia, drop sanctions, maintain such vestiges of White security that remained and accept the internal election, all these promises were immediately betrayed.

Mrs. Thatcher's first major act as Prime Minister was to rush off to the Commonwealth Conference at Lusaka, dance in the arms of Zambian President Kenneth Kaunda and agree that the 'Patriotic Front' murderers should, after all, be included in any 'solution' of the Rhodesian dispute.

The 'internal settlement' election of Bishop Muzorewa, previously described by the Tories as "free and fair", was condemned. 'Constitutional talks' were now necessary, in which the terrorist leaders Nkomo and Mugabe were to take part. Another election had to be held.

Out of the grovelling at Lusaka was born the Lancaster House Conference, a complete about-turn which was hailed by the Tory press as a "brilliant new initiative".

This "brilliant" plan was supposedly going to 'isolate' the Marxist Mugabe. Another election, according to Tory strategists, would produce a Black coalition government which would include Muzorewa and the non-Marxist terrorist Nkomo, but not Mugabe.

Thus the Tory party had evolved in fifteen years from supporting White rule in Rhodesia to supporting Black rule by terrorists, so long as they were "non-Marxist" terrorists! Editorials in Tory newspapers began to say nice things about Nkomo.

Last month, Mrs. Thatcher's "brilliant" initiative enabled Mugabe's Marxist ZANU party to sweep the board in the election!

After the initial wave of bleetings from the Tory press, newspapers supporting the Conservative government began to change their tune in order to cover up the trail of blunder and treason which Mrs. Thatcher's Rhodesian 'policy' had left in its wake.

Mugabe's "attachment to the Communist bloc has been much over-rated" according to Zionist 'insider' columnist Max Hastings in the Tory *Evening Standard.* Only

Mugabe himself has read Marx. His lieutenants have only read Lenin. Nkomo, on the other hand, always did have a close relationship with the Russians.

The truth is, of course, that Tory betrayal is going to produce the kind of Black Marxist chaos in Rhodesia as it has elsewhere in Africa.

The enormously high standards of White administration, health care and education will collapse. Whites will leave the country. Black nationalisation and 'socialism' will destroy White agriculture and industry.

'Zimbabwe' will become the new launch-pad for the Communist assault on South Africa.

BLACK BIRTHS SOAR – WHITE BIRTHS FALL

WHILE Britain's White population is declining the number of Black births is soaring. In just 4 years births to Coloured Immigrants have leaped, in some areas by up to 42%.

These frightening new figures have been revealed in the latest publication of the Government's own statistical department, *The Office of Population, Censuses and Surveys.*

The size of the Black population of this country is now increasing faster than ever before.

In eight London boroughs over 30% of all births are to mothers who have come from the New Commonwealth and Pakistan. In Brent the figure is 45%, Ealing 40%, Newham 39%, Haringey 37%, Hackney 35%, Waltham Forest and Tower Hamlets 31%, Lambeth 30%.

Government statistics also reveal that 40% of our Black population were born in this country and these figures do not include their children. When these are included, the number of births to Coloured Immigrants rises to more than half the total in six of the London boroughs.

BRADFORD'S 42%

In just four years the number of births to Immigrant mothers in the Greater London area was up by 13%, in Birmingham up 17%, in Leicester up 25% and in Bradford up an astonishing 42%.

During the same period the total number of births to White British mothers has fallen, down 8% in London, down 10% in Birmingham, down 12% in Coventry, down 15% in Wolverhampton and down 13% in Leeds.

Our White population has also fallen because of the number of people emigrating, while the size of the Black population is continually being increased by further immigration.

Not only is immigration from India, Bangladesh, Pakistan and Africa continuing at an alarming rate.

Tory leader Margaret Thatcher has already agreed to take tens of thousands of Vietnamese boat people, and is doing nothing about the 350,000 Iranians who have come into our country in the last two years, many of who are now staying here illegally.

ILLEGITIMACY

These same birth statistics reveal the difference in life styles between British and the Immigrant groups.

While in our modern society illegitimacy has been rising to about 9%, over half of the births to West Indian born women were illegitimate.

It must be remembered that a very large portion of Coloured Immigrants settled in Britain are of child-bearing age. The fecundity of Coloured Immigrants in Britain was illustrated in last (1970) Population Census.

200 BABIES A YEAR

That revealed that while every 1,000 White British women of child-bearing age had 84 babies a year, every 1,000 West Indian women produced 108 babies a year, and every 1,000 Asian women produced **200 babies a year.**

This pattern was attributed to the "different cultural traditions" of the various Immigrant groups and that their fecundity would reduce as they "integrated and absorbed British culture".

This prediction has proved false. Anybody who has experience of Immigrant communities – particularly the Asian community – will know well that "integration" is only superficial.

These people cling tenaciously to the religious and social values and traditions which are the cause of their high birth-rate.

Recently pressure has been put on the Government by Immigrant Community leaders and White renegades to delete from the forthcoming Population Census questions concerning ethnic origin.

The Immigrant leaders and their Quisling friends wish to hide from the British people the true extent to which our bankrupt country is being deliberately used as a tip for the surplus population of Afro-Asia.

Londoners! Check your Borough's Black birth rate!

Map Ref.	Borough	Black Birth Percentage	Map Ref.	Borough	Black Birth Percentage	Map Ref.	Borough	Black Birth Percentage
(1)	Barking	8.0	(12)	Hammersmith	19.9	(22)	Lewisham	19.8
(2)	Barnet	20.4	(13)	Haringey	37.2	(23)	Merton	15.1
(3)	Bexley	5.7	(14)	Harrow	21.8	(24)	Newham	38.5
(4)	Brent	44.9	(15)	Havering	6.1	(25)	Redbridge	18.1
(5)	Bromley	4.4	(16)	Hillingdon	9.9	(26)	Richmond	6.5
(6)	Camden	16.1	(17)	Hounslow	25.2	(27)	Southwark	23.7
(7)	Croydon	15.0	(18)	Islington	22.2	(28)	Sutton	4.8
(8)	Ealing	39.7	(19)	Kensington & Chelsea	11.1	(29)	Tower Hamlets	31.0
(9)	Enfield	19.4	(20)	Kingston	8.6	(30)	Waltham Forest	31.3
(10)	Greenwich	13.3	(21)	Lambeth	30.3	(31)	Wandsworth	29.4
(11)	Hackney	35.2				(32)	Westminster	15.4



"OUR PLAN TO CRIPPLE THE NATIONAL FRONT" –RACE BOARD

"WE DON'T want to ban the National Front from **existing** – we simply want to cripple the right of the NF to **function.**"

This was the gist of the 'evidence' given by David Lane, Chairman of the Commission for Racial Equality (the new Race Board), and his Zionist legal adviser, Francis Deutsch, to the House of Commons Select Committee on Home Affairs on 25th February.

The Press gave much attention to Lane's opposition to an outright ban on the NF without specifying his reasons, because his reasons had nothing to do with freedom and democracy.

He simply conceded that if an Act of Parliament were to be passed banning the NF, the party could reform overnight under another name. He was also afraid that the public would see such a ban as casting the NF into the role of a martyr.

What his 'evidence' set out to do was to suggest ways in which the Public Order Act, the Race Relations Act and the Representation of the People Act could be altered so as to inhibit the ability of the NF to function.

Because of the unwillingness of local Councils in many areas to rent halls to the NF for meetings which are otherwise available to all other political parties, the NF is forced to advertise its aims and exercise its right of assembly by taking to the streets.

The demonstrations which the NF holds are often very successful in winning publicity and new members, so obviously the CRE wants even that facility denied.

At the moment a march can only be banned if the local Chief Constable, in consultation with the local Council, decides to apply for a ban to the Home Secretary. In London, the Police Commissioner alone can promulgate a ban.



DAVID LANE
Stop NF functioning

Under Lane's proposals, a local Council could apply to the Home Secretary for a ban even if the local Chief Constable is against it.

This would mean that NF demonstrations would be banned almost everywhere – especially in areas run by Labour Councils.

In Glasgow, for example, the Labour Council allowed IRA supporters to use a public park for a rally last year, but they denied the NF the use of the same park for its anti-IRA rally on 15th March.

Under Lane's system, the Police would be employed arresting or chasing off the streets citizens who attempted to manifest opinions not approved of by local Councillors!

Not that Lane would take away Chief Constables' present right to seek a ban on marches where they felt that the forces available to them are not sufficient to maintain public order.

Lane wants that right to be supplemented by a further criterion: reasonable apprehension that the march may "stir up hatred against any racial group".

This is an attempt to get the Police to impose *political* censorship on the *subject* of a demonstration. Specifically, it is an attempt to render demonstrations against Immigration, race-mixing or associated problems unlawful.

Such an additional power could even be used to render anti-Zionist demonstrations liable to bans on account of "offence" caused to the Zionist-Jewish community . . . but no doubt that is precisely what Lane's legal advisor, Deutsch, had in mind!

In order to try and avoid violent street confrontations which hooligan Marxist organisations like to stage against NF marches, the NF never gives out advance details of a march route or destination. But such matters are always negotiated in confidence with the Police in advance.

However, Lane did not recommend that organisers of demonstrations (and, more to the point, counter-demonstrations) should have a **legal** obligation to give advance notice to the Police of their intentions as to where and when they proposed to demonstrate.

Lane tried to suggest that advance notice was an unnecessary limitation on freedom. But what he really wanted to preserve was the "right" of the Red Rentamob to roam the streets looking for orderly and pre-arranged NF marches to attack.

A review of Lane's proposals in respect of changes to the Race and Election laws will be given in the next issue.

Fed up with media liars? Then start pushing *NF News!*

NEVER a day goes by without patriotic people complaining about the deliberate lies and subversion continually pushed by the Press and TV – the "mass media".

We advise that offenders are bombarded with complaints – but the fact has to be faced that complaints will not effect a change of heart in Fleet Street, because the newspapers and TV networks are owned and controlled by an internationalist 'Establishment'.

This 'Establishment' uses the media as a propaganda weapon. The journalists it employs are paid and trained to tell lies and suppress or distort the truth.

The only answer for patriots is to build up *their own* media for getting truth through to the public. National Front members have *National Front News.*

Now that the paper appears on a regular monthly basis (at long last!) NF Branches and Groups – and also individual members and supporters must organise themselves to promote and sell the paper on a **routine** basis.

Not only are the discounts for quantity supplies of the paper very generous (see page 4), thus enabling a big profit to be earned by branches, but the party itself can be built up around the activity involved in selling the paper.

Every NF Branch and Group should hold sales drives every Saturday in the High Streets in their area. Early evening sales drives outside railway stations are also effective. Sales may be slow to start with, but if you cultivate a pitch **regularly**, sales soon build up.

Any Branch or Group that has a first or second division football team in their area can sell many hundreds of copies of the paper an hour or so before a home match.

Wandsworth Branch never sells less than 200 copies when Chelsea plays at home. Similar targets are achieved by Newham Branch outside West Ham and Leeds Branch outside United's ground at Elland Road.

Door to door selling in big estates is also a great way of selling large numbers of copies. Most units have at least one good salesman who can break the ice with a first call.

He can note the addresses of purchasers, and they can be called on next month by people who are less good at salesmanship who simply need to say:

"I've brought you this month's issue – you bought it last month."

In this way regular 'rounds' can be maintained while the good salesman is always employed on establishing new rounds. Thus you not only locate customers, but sympathisers and potential members! Regular customers can be invited to Branch meetings and socials.

A Leeds NF member doing a brisk trade at Elland Road

Be they in the High Street, outside railway stations, at football grounds, or door to door, *NF News* sales drives, if sustained on a regular basis, are effective not only in getting information to truth-starved people, but in putting a human face to the name "National Front".

Send us your photographs and news about your Branch's sales drives. Tell us how you set about fighting the 'Establishment's' media liars armed with NF News!

NF MEMBER/businessman requires a room Monday to Thursday in East London. Reply Box 21P, *NF News.*

READ **Bulldog**
MONTHLY PAPER FOR YOUNG NATIONAL FRONT
Send 15p for sample copy now. Bulk rates for 100 copies or more.

1,700 MARCH FOR OUR OLD FOLK

MORE THAN 1500 National Front members marched through Southwark in South East London on Sunday, March 2nd in a mass protest against the spate of vicious muggings and murders of old folk in the area.

The march, led by new NF Chairman Andrew Brons and Southwark Branch Organiser Jim Sneath, ended near an area of Peckham known as 'Murder Mile', the scene of a number of savage killings including the murder of 90 year-old Phoebe Merrill by a six-foot teenage West Indian mugger.

Placards on the march through residential streets read "Defend Our Old Folk – Repatriate the Muggers."

The march won nationwide publicity on all TV networks and in all major national newspapers. BBC television correctly estimated the NF turnout as "about 1700", but next day newspapers had a sudden rethink and tried to claim much lower figures. The size of the demonstration evidently shocked our enemies.

The Times the following day emphasised that the turnout, almost double the predicted figure for a morning activity, confirmed that the NF and not the breakaway 'Constitutional Movement' commanded the allegiance of Front members.

A rally at the end of the march was addressed by Southwark Organiser Jim Sneath, NF Deputy Chairman Richard Verrall and NF Chairman Andrew Brons.

Rally chairman Martin Webster also introduced 72 year-old Mrs. Nellie Cecil, a longstanding member of the NF's Wandsworth Branch who told the rally how in recent years she had been mugged no less than four times!

Thanks to a carefully laid plan by the NF National Activities Department, the march was orderly and peaceful throughout. The reason for this was quite simple – there were no Red hooligans about to provoke violence.

In January, the NF decided that next time it marched in South London it would adopt a plan to ensure that Lewisham-style violence was avoided – a plan that would prove once and for all that street violence was instigated by the Red Rentamob, not the National Front.

First of all, the NF announced in a *Members Bulletin* and in other publicity that the party intended to hold a march in Southwark on the 24th February from Camberwell to Elephant and Castle. The Reds took the bait and immediately announced a "counter-demonstration" on the same day along the same route.

Once the Reds had committed themselves to organising and holding this demonstration, NF National Activities Organiser Martin Webster and Southwark Branch Organiser Jim Sneath went to Scotland Yard – on February 7th – and gave written notice of the NF's intention to march **to Peckham** on Sunday, 2nd March, one week **after** the date of the Red demonstration.

The Red Rentamob was thrown into squabbling confusion. Should they cancel their demonstration on the 24th February in order to attack the NF march a week later? In the event, a paltry 200 Reds shuffled along unmolested that day, proving that the NF has no wish to interfere with their right of free speech and assembly.

Predictably, on Sunday March 2nd the Reds gathered in order to provoke violence at the NF march. But they were out of luck.

Still believing that the NF would follow the phony route announced in January, the Reds assembled at Elephant and Castle. Frantic Communists attempted to storm through the streets when they realised that the NF was marching to Peckham in the opposite direction!

Needless to say, the press did not give credit to the NF for the way in which this plan had successfully avoided a confrontation with the hooligans of the Marxist Left.

All major newspapers, despite being given full details of the plan weeks in advance, deliberately gave the false impression that the march to Peckham was a "sudden decision by the Police" to re-route the activity!

The *Daily Telegraph* claimed that a massive police presence had "kept the rival factions apart". *The Sun* attributed the peaceful march to "a masterly piece of police planning". *The Times* alleged that the NF march had been "diverted from the expected route".

Most outrageous of all was *The Guardian*, which stated that "a last-minute change of route prevented any contact with a counter-demonstration." Only the *Daily Mail* grudgingly admitted the truth: "Only the Yard and a handful of senior NF organisers knew the route."

Despite press lies, however, the NF has reason to congratulate itself on proving its point.

Next time, when the press starts whining about "provocations to violence," we will be able to refer them to a peaceful march in Southwark. Peaceful because, thanks to the NF, the Reds were in one part of town and we were in another!

ABOVE: NF Chairman Andrew Brons addressing the rally. Behind him is NF Deputy Chairman Richard Verrall. After the rally a collection of more than £400 – much of it in silver – was taken.

LEFT: The column on the march. This photo was taken half-way down the column, yet still the marchers stretch back into the distance.

RIGHT: Patriots came from all over Britain for the march – even from as far as Edinburgh! Here we see banners from Grantham, Lincs., and Lambeth, South London.

HELP FIGHT THE WRECKERS– SUPPORT OUR LEGAL FUND

IT WILL not be news to NF members that the National Front has been the subject of a conspiratorial attempt to try and wreck the party.

The leaders of the faction – now mostly expelled – worked on two levels.

Firstly, a campaign of defamation against the party's leading personalities and staff designed to undermine the morale and loyalty of the membership.

Secondly, they manipulated themselves into key posts on the various companies which own party assets (like Excalibur House) in the hope of separating those assets from party control.

In the battle for the loyalty of the vast majority of the membership, the conspirators have lost. Even *The Times* acknowledged this in its report of the NF's big march in Southwark on 2nd March.

Despite this, the faction leaders are still making war on the party through their control over the party's main assets-holding company, NF Properties Ltd., and by means of trying to lure NF membership subscriptions to their bogus "Constitutional Movement".

On Friday 7th March faction leader Paul Kavanagh, currently Chairman of NF Properties Ltd., attempted at the High Court to get an immediate eviction order against the NF's Publicity Department and helpers at Excalibur House.

This attempt **failed,** thanks to the NF's Deputy Chairman Richard Verrall mobilising a fine team of lawyers.

The NF is counter-attacking with its own High Court actions.

The first is designed to secure the return to the NF of controlling shares in NF Properties presently held by factional 'Trustees'. The second is designed to prohibit the faction from passing itself off as the NF and collecting NF subscriptions.

But these legal actions cost a great amount of money. We are confident of victory – but we need money – NOW – to guarantee it.

Please use the form below and send as much as you can, quickly. Thank you!

NATIONAL FRONT EMERGENCY LEGAL FUND
DONATION FORM

To: The Treasurer, National Front, PO Box 163, London EC2A 3AU.
I wish to assist the NF's legal actions against the subversive faction who have tried to wreck the party.

I therefore enclose a donation of £ ..... p

Signed .....

Address .....

Anonymous donations welcomed

CASH BLACKMAIL ON FREE SPEECH

JUST like Capitalists, Marxists use cash blackmail to try and stifle free speech.

In February, Camden Borough Council, North London – which is controlled by Labour Marxists and Zionists – told the South Place Ethical Society, owners of Conway Hall, that they would not get a Council grant because they allowed the National Front to rent the hall occasionally.

Later that month the Kent Branch of the National Union of Mineworkers told Dover District Council that it will withdraw its £75,000 investment in Council bonds because the Council let the NF hire Biggin Hall for an anti-IRA meeting.

The NUM wants all 'fraternal' organisations to withdraw investments from any Council that rents halls to the NF.

N.F.N. APPEAL FUND

We would like you to buy NATIONAL FRONT NEWS and resell it. If, however, you cannot do this but would nevertheless like to help us promote it, then why not send us a donation?

For every £1 received we can print another 50 copies.

Contributions should be addressed to NFN Press, PO Box 163, London EC2A 3AU.

ANTI-IRA MARCH BANNED

CONSERVATIVE Secretary of State for Scotland George Younger, MP, gave his approval to an application to ban the National Front's anti-IRA march which was to have been held in Glasgow on Saturday 15th March.

The application for the ban was formally made to the Secretary of State by the Strathclyde Regional Authority after the Authority had been notified by the Chief Constable of the Strathclyde Police that he did not think he had the forces available to maintain public order.

The ban on the NF's anti-IRA march comes after Sinn Fein supporters of the IRA were allowed to parade through Glasgow last year, and only three months after a Sinn Fein parade was allowed to march through Birmingham, past pubs bombed by the IRA in 1974.

Prior to the ban, Glasgow City Council forbade the NF to use a park in Glasgow for its end-of-march rally that it had given Sinn Fein permission to use for its rally last year.

WHAT WE STAND FOR

- The return of leadership and statesmanship to British affairs.
- A government that will put British interests first.
- British withdrawal from the European Common Market.
- Closer links with the White Commonwealth.
- Protection of British industry by the exclusion of foreign manufactured goods from Britain.
- A sane financial system which will give to the people the purchasing power to buy the goods they produce.
- The revival of British farming.
- British ownership and control of British industry and resources.
- A clamp-down on social security spongers and the restoration of the incentive to work.
- An end to immigration and a start to repatriation.
- British support of the Whites in Rhodesia and South Africa.
- An end to financial aid to black dictatorships.
- The strengthening of Britain's defences.
- Tougher treatment of criminals.
- The banning of the IRA, the death penalty for terrorists and firm support of the Loyalist majority in Northern Ireland.
- The elimination of Communism in Britain, in the mass media, in the factory and in the schoolroom.

National Front puts Britain *FIRST*

To: National Front Information Service
PO Box 163, London EC2.
Tick appropriate box.

Please send me more information about the National Front for which I enclose 30p (PO/stamps) ☐

I wish to become a Full Member of the National Front, and enclose £6.00 (Half rate for students and OAP's) ☐

I wish to become an Associate Member of the National Front and enclose £3.00 (No half rates) ☐

Name .....

Address .....

.....

BUY N.F.N. IN BULK

National Front News retails at 10p from your local seller. Would bulk purchasers please order **only** in the quantities listed below. Standing orders welcomed.

10 copies £1.00 + 26p post
25 copies £2.25 + 75p post
50 copies £4.00 + £1.25 post
100 copies £7.00 + £1.47 post
150 copies £9.75 + £1.60 post
200 copies £12.00 + £1.73 post
300 copies £16.50 + £1.99 post
400 copies £20.00) Bulk
500 copies £24.50) rates by
1000 copies £46.00) Roadline.

Cheques/postal orders to **National Front News,** PO Box 163, London EC2A 3AU. Please keep orders and enquiries concerning **National Front News** totally separate from other correspondence, in order to avoid delay and confusion.

SUBSCRIBE!

In order to make sure of receiving **National Front News** each month why not take out a subscription? No single subscriptions accepted. Subscriptions only accepted for 5 copies per issue or over. Subscription for 5 copies: £8.00 (12 issues). Please make cheques/PO's payable to NFN Press and send to: NFN Press, PO Box 163, London EC2A 3AU.

I enclose subscription of £8.00 for 5 copies of **National Front News** for 12 issues.

Name .....

Address .....

.....

OVERSEAS SUBSCRIBERS WELCOMED

Printed and published by NFN Press, PO Box 163, London EC2A 3AU